

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,026	07/31/2003	Jay Lahti	P-11616.00 9661	
27581 75	90 02/28/2006		EXAMINER	
MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARK MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ALTER, ALYSSA M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Will Will Obi	0, 1/111 00 102 //21		3762	
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/632,026	LAHTI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Alyssa M. Alter	3762	

5 ( ( E''	10,002,020						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alyssa M. Alter	3762					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 February 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th	b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f		IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the as after the mailing date of the final rejection.	The appropriate extension of the standard of t	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b) ay reduce any				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.				
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amondmon	F (DTOL 324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amendmen	(1 10L-02 <del>4</del> ).				
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro		vill be entered and an	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but the second	out before or on the date of filing a	Notice of Anneal will I	not be entered				
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good at and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.							
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).							
13.  Other:	_	_					
	PRIMARY	LEVANISKO EXAMINER 122/6					
	7	16					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the Applicant argues that Lim (US 5,769,671) does not disclose sidewalls of the arms of the spring engaging against eachother when the clip is in first postion and the ends engaged against eachother when the clip is in second position. However, in accordance with the previous Office Actions, the sidewalls will inherently engage against each other when they are deformed from their relaxed state, which the examiner considers the first position, to another position. Therefore, Lim does teach the sidewalls of the arms of the spring engaging against eachother when the clip is in first postion and the ends engaged against eachother when the clip is in second position.

alyssa M. Alter